UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,543	11/07/2005	John Donnelly	PP019844.0003	4724	
	27476 7590 02/13/2009 NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC.			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY R338			BOESEN, AGNIESZKA		
P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			1648		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/530,543	DONNELLY ET	AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
	AGNIESZKA BOESEN	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-			
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>						
after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing of Tran	sillissioii dated	), WITICIT IS			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:						
Applicant's representative Lisa Hemmendinger conf a continuation Application.	irmed that no response has been	ifiled and that Ap	plicant has filed			
/Bruce Campell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1648	/Agnieszka Boesen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1648					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to			